



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,375	03/01/2004	Mark A. Walch	67182005.002000	8970
23562	7590	06/02/2009	EXAMINER	
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP			PARK, EDWARD	
PATENT DEPARTMENT				
2001 ROSS AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2300				2624
DALLAS, TX 75201				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,375	WALCH, MARK A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDWARD PARK	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EDWARD PARK. (3) ____.

(2) Noel Gillespie (Reg #: 47,596). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 26,36,51 and 77.

Identification of prior art discussed: Andersen et al (US 7,240,062), Syeda-Mahmood (US 5,953,451), Krtolica (US 5,719,959).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and examiners discussed prior art references and potential claim amendments in regards to claim 26, 51. An agreement was reached in terms amending claims 26, 51 to reflect the claim limitations of dependent claim 77; furthermore, the proposed amendment would over come the prior art of record. Also, claim 51 was discussed in regards to correcting the 101 Tied to Criteria.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edward Park/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	
--	--